

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>		<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/594,288		STUBNER, ARMIN	
	<b>Examiner</b>		<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Gary K. Graham		3727	

  

**All Participants:**

(1) Gary K. Graham.

(2) Jay Finerson.

**Date of Interview:** 6 January 2011

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*None*

Claims discussed:

*1, 11, 13, 15, 18*

Prior art documents discussed:

*None*

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Gary K. Graham/  
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3727

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:  
Discussed changes to clarify that the switching of the diode is achieved with the at least one switching means. Also, minor changes were discussed to eliminate duplicate claims (dependency of claims 13, 15) and claim language (reference to structure already set forth in claims 10, 18). See the Examiner's Amendment.